

On Differential Forms

Abstract. This article will give a very simple definition of k -forms or differential forms. It just requires basic knowledge about matrices and determinants. Furthermore a very simple proof will be given for the proposition that the double outer differentiation of k -forms vanishes.

MSC 2010: 58A10

1. Basic definitions.

We denote the submatrix of $A = (a_{ij}) \in R^{m \times n}$ consisting of the rows i_1, \dots, i_k and the columns j_1, \dots, j_k with

$$[A]_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_k} := \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 j_1} & \dots & a_{i_1 j_k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i_k j_1} & \dots & a_{i_k j_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

and its determinant with

$$A_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_k} := \det[A]_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_k}.$$

For example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{1,2}^{1,3} = a_{11}a_{23} - a_{21}a_{13}.$$

Suppose

$$H \in R^{n \times (n+1)}$$

and let

$$f, g: U \subseteq R^n \rightarrow R, \quad U \text{ open}$$

be two functions which are two-times continuously differentiable. Then we call for a fixed k the expression

$$f H_\alpha^{1 \dots k}, \quad \alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k,$$

a *basic k -form* or *basic differential form* of order k . It's a real function of $n + k^2$ variables. For $k > n$ the expression is defined to be zero. If f also depends on α then

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} f_{i_1 \dots i_k} H_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{1 \dots k}$$

is called a *k -form*. It's a real function of $n + kn$ variables which is k -linear in the k column-vectors of H .

For example for $f: R \rightarrow R$ and $H \in R^{1 \times 1}$ we have $f(x) H$. This is a linear function in H and a possibly non-linear function in x .

2. Differentiation of k -forms.

For the differential form

$$\omega = f H_\alpha^{1\dots k}, \quad \alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_k),$$

we define

$$d\omega := \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_\nu} H_{\nu,\alpha}^{1\dots k+1}$$

as the *outer differentiation* of ω . This is a $(k+1)$ -form. It's a function of $n + (k+1)n$ variables.

The 0-form

$$\omega = f, \quad |\alpha| = k = 0$$

yields

$$d\omega = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_\nu} H_\nu^1 \tag{1}$$

which corresponds to $\nabla f = \text{grad } f$.

In the special case $k = |\alpha| = 1$ we get for

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i H_i^1$$

the result

$$d\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} H_{j,i}^{1,2} = \sum_{i<j} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} \right) H_{j,i}^{1,2}. \tag{2}$$

This corresponds to $\text{rot } f$.

Let $\hat{()}$ mean exclusion from the index list. The case $k = n - 1$ for

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} f_i H_{1\dots\hat{i}\dots n}^{1\dots n-1}$$

delivers

$$d\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\nu=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_\nu} H_{\nu,1\dots\hat{i}\dots n}^{1\dots n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_\nu} H_{1\dots n}^{1\dots n} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} \right) \det H.$$

This corresponds to $\text{div } f$.

Theorem. For $\omega = f H_\alpha^{1\dots k}$ we have

$$dd\omega = 0.$$

Proof: With

$$d\omega = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_\nu} H_{\nu,\alpha}^{1\dots k+1}$$

we get

$$dd\omega = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\nu \partial x_\mu} H_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^{1\dots k+2}$$

and this is zero, because

$$H_{\mu,\mu,\alpha}^{1\dots k+2} = 0, \quad H_{\mu,\nu,\alpha}^{1\dots k+2} = -H_{\nu,\mu,\alpha}^{1\dots k+2},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\nu \partial x_\mu} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\mu \partial x_\nu}.$$

Application of this theorem to an 0-form with an $f: U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a 1-form with an $a: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ reading (1) and then (2) yields

$$\operatorname{rot} \operatorname{grad} f = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} \operatorname{rot} a = 0.$$

The second equation is only true for $n = 3$ because

$$\binom{n}{2} = n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad n = 3.$$

Definition. Suppose

$$\phi: D \rightarrow E \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \quad D \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^k,$$

is differentiable, its derivative denoted by ϕ' , and

$$f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

For the differential form $\omega = f H_\alpha^{1 \dots k}$ we define the *back-transportation* as

$$\phi^* \omega := (f \circ \phi) (\phi')_\alpha^{1 \dots k}$$

and the integral over k -forms as

$$\int_\phi \omega := \int_D \phi^* \omega.$$

For example the case $k = 1$,

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i H_i^1$$

gives

$$\phi^* \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i \circ \phi) (\phi')_i^1.$$

3. The outer product of differential forms.

Suppose

$$H \in R^{n \times (n+1)}, \quad k + m \leq n.$$

For the two differential forms

$$\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} f_{i_1 \dots i_k} H_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{1 \dots k}$$

and

$$\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n} g_{j_1 \dots j_m} H_{j_1 \dots j_m}^{k+1 \dots k+m}$$

the outer product is defined as

$$w \wedge \lambda := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n}} f_{i_1 \dots i_k} g_{j_1 \dots j_m} H_{i_1 \dots i_k j_1 \dots j_m}^{1 \dots k k+1 \dots k+m}.$$

This is a differential form of order $k + m$. It's a function in $n + (k + m)n$ variables.

Theorem.

$$d(\omega \wedge \lambda) = d\omega \wedge \lambda + (-1)^k \omega \wedge d\lambda$$

Proof: With

$$\omega = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}^{1 \dots k}, \quad \lambda = \sum_{\beta} g_{\beta} H_{\beta}^{1 \dots m}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\omega \wedge \lambda) &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\nu}} g_{\beta} + f_{\alpha} \frac{\partial g_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\nu}} \right) H_{\nu, \alpha, \beta}^{1 \dots k+m+1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\nu}} g_{\beta} H_{\nu, \alpha, \beta}^{1 \dots k+m+1} + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\nu=1}^n f_{\alpha} \frac{\partial g_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\nu}} H_{\nu, \alpha, \beta}^{1 \dots k+m+1} \\ &= d\omega \wedge \lambda + (-1)^k \omega \wedge d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

due to

$$H_{\nu, \alpha, \beta}^{1 \dots k+m+1} = (-1)^k H_{\nu, \beta, \alpha}^{1 \dots k+m+1}$$

and

$$d\lambda = \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial g_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\nu}} H_{\nu, \beta}^{1 \dots m+1}.$$

An alternative definition for the differentiation of k -forms could be given.

Theorem. Suppose

$$\omega = f H_{\alpha}^{1 \dots k}, \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k,$$

and

$$H = (h_1, \dots, h_n, h_{n+1}) \in R^{n \times (n+1)}$$

with $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ we have

$$d\omega = \det(\text{col}(\nabla f, [\text{Id}_n]_{\alpha}^{1 \dots n})) [H]_{1 \dots n}^{1 \dots k+1} = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\nu}} H_{\nu, \alpha}^{1 \dots k+1},$$

where col just stacks matrices one above another and Id_n is the identity matrix in R^n .

Proof:

$$d\omega = \begin{vmatrix} \langle \nabla f, h_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle \nabla f, h_k \rangle & \langle \nabla f, h_{k+1} \rangle \\ \langle e_{i_1}, h_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle e_{i_1}, h_k \rangle & \langle e_{i_1}, h_{k+1} \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle e_{i_k}, h_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle e_{i_k}, h_k \rangle & \langle e_{i_k}, h_{k+1} \rangle \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_\nu} \begin{vmatrix} h_{1,\nu} & h_{1,i_1} & \dots & h_{1,i_k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{k,\nu} & h_{k,i_1} & \dots & h_{k,i_k} \\ h_{k+1,\nu} & h_{k+1,i_1} & \dots & h_{k+1,i_k} \end{vmatrix}$$

since

$$\langle \nabla f, h_1 \rangle = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_\nu} h_{1,\nu},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\langle \nabla f, h_{k+1} \rangle = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_\nu} h_{k+1,\nu}.$$

REFERENCES.

1. Walter Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964
2. Otto Forster, Analysis 3: Integralrechnung im R^n mit Anwendungen, Third Edition, Friedrich Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1984

Author's address:

Elmar Klausmeier
 Goethestrasse 4
 D-63128 Dietzenbach
 Germany
<http://eklausmeier.wordpress.com>